

DIFFERENCE IN INCIDENCE OF VISUAL THREATENING DIABETIC RETINOPATHY BETWEEN PATIENTS WITH AND WITHOUT IMPAIRED SLEEP QUALITY

Lucky Fitriada¹, Nina Ratnaningsih¹, Erwin Iskandar¹

¹ Department of Ophthalmology, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Padjadjaran
National Health Eye Center of Eye Cicendo Hospital

Abstract

Introduction: Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a common and major complication of diabetes mellitus (DM) and is the leading cause of preventable blindness in adults of productive age after cataracts. The global prevalence of DR is 34.6%, consisting of proliferative diabetic retinopathy (7.0%), diabetic macular edema (6.8%), and visual threatening diabetic retinopathy (VTDR) (10.2%.) The risk factors for VTDR are age, duration of diabetes, glycemic control, hypertension, obesity, HbA1C levels, and dyslipidemia. In addition, impaired sleep quality is also indicated to be connected with the incidence of VTDR. The aim of this study is to compare the incidence of VTDR between patients with and without impaired sleep quality.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional comparative analytic observational study involving 178 type 2 diabetes mellitus patients with DR at the National Eye Center of Cicendo Eye Hospital who met the inclusion criteria. Subject characteristic data were taken from medical records and sleep quality data were collected through a structured interview using the Indonesian version of the Shorten Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) questionnaire. Subjects were distributed into poor and good sleep quality groups. The presence of VTDR was then assessed. The differences were then analyzed statistically using chi-square test with a p value of 0.05 considered statistically significant.

Result: Results showed that 66.2% and 71.1% of patients in the poor and good quality sleep group suffered from VTDR, respectively ($p > 0.05$).

Conclusion: There is no difference in VTDR incidence between patients in productive age with type 2 DM between those with and without impaired sleep quality.

Keywords: Type 2 DM, DR, productive age, VTDR, impaired sleep quality

Cite This Article: H FITRADA, Lucky. A DIFFERENCE IN INCIDENCE OF VISUAL THREATENING DIABETIC RETINOPATHY BETWEEN PATIENTS WITH AND WITHOUT IMPAIRED SLEEP QUALITY. *International Journal of Retina*, [S.l.], v. 5, n. 2, sep. 2022. ISSN 2614-8536. Available at: <<https://www.ijretina.com/index.php/ijretina/article/view/199>>. Date accessed: 22 sep. 2022. doi: <https://doi.org/10.35479/ijretina.2022.vol005.iss002.199>.

Correspondence to:

Lucky Fitriada,
Cicendo Eye Hospital, Bandung,
Indonesia,
luckyfitriada@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is a common and major complication of diabetes mellitus (DM), and is the leading cause of preventable blindness in adults of productive age after cataracts. The global prevalence of DR is 34.6% with proliferative diabetic retinopathy of 7.0%, diabetic macular edema of 6.8%, and visual threatening diabetic retinopathy (VTDR) of 10.2%.

Studies have shown that the prevalence of DR in the United States and Singapore is 40% and 10.5%, respectively. Several studies of the prevalence of DR with type 2 diabetes mellitus in adults in Indonesia have revealed that the prevalence for DR and VTDR in the Greater Bandung Area is 24.7% and 9%, respectively while in Jogjakarta, the prevalence is 43.1% and 26.3%, respectively.¹⁻⁶

The risk factors for VTDR in DR include age, diabetes duration, and glycemic control. Other risk factors such as hypertension, obesity, HbA1C levels, dyslipidemia, nephropathy, and impaired sleep duration and quality are said to be associated with VTDR.^{14,15}

Sleep is a complex and highly organized biological and behavioral process that serves many functions. When the duration of sleep is impaired and the sleep pattern is irregular, health will decline and the risk of mortality will increase. Lots of evidence from recent experimental as well as from epidemiological studies suggested that sleep duration is significantly linked with the presence of diabetes mellitus, insulin resistance, and poor glycemic control.^{12,13,16}

In general population, complaints on disrupted sleep duration are given by 20% to 42.7% of the population. A study in Netherlands has demonstrated that 32% of people in the 18 to 70 year age group in the said country are identified to experience impaired sleep duration and quality. Sleep disorders have been experienced by 28 million of people or 10% of the population in Indonesia, which is currently the country with the highest prevalence of sleep disorders in Asia.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

The mechanism that links short or long sleep duration to the prevalence and severity of DR has not been well understood. A study conducted by Tan et al. suggested that in the sleep-deprived condition, the level of ghrelin increases while the level of leptin

decrease, which leads to increased hunger, reduced satiety, and disrupted energy balance. This is accompanied by poorer insulin resistance and glycemic control which can increase the risk for VTDR.^{10,17-20}

There were not been many studies on the relationship between sleep disorders and DR in Indonesia. A community-based study in Jogjakarta has stated that there is no significant relationship between sleep duration and DR. With the fact that Indonesia has a high number of sleep disorder cases, this study sought to understand whether impaired sleep quality indeed a risk factor for VTDR in DR patients.²¹

METHODS

This is a retrospective cross-sectional comparative analytic observational study conducted at Cicendo Eye Hospital in September 2020. The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Commission of Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia. Subjects were recruited based on the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study.

The inclusion criteria used included patients diagnosed with Type 2 DM, mild NPDR, moderate NPDR, severe NPDR, PDR, and DME by an ophthalmologist and had agreed to participate in the study after informed consent. Patients with cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, chronic kidney diseases, consumption of medicine that disturbs sleep pattern, and incomplete medical record data were excluded. A medical record was considered to be incomplete when it did not contain all information needed for the study. In addition, patients without contact number, no response after three attempts of communication, and unwilling to participate in the study were also excluded. There were 178 patients diagnosed as suffering from Type 2 DM with DR who were willing to participate in the study. Interviews were then performed on these patients using the Indonesian version of the Shortened Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) questionnaire. The PSQI questionnaire was translated into Indonesian using the forward-backward

translation method by two bilingual translators. A trial was then performed using the translated questionnaire to 30 subjects.

Results were then tested for validity and reliability using the Pearson Product Moment and Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficient, respectively. Structured interviews were performed by phone.

Data Processing and Analysis

There were 4,931 medical records under the code of E.11 identified in the period of January 2019 to August 2020 at the retina clinic data. However, only 368 matched the inclusion and 368 subjects, 208 could not be contacted by phone and 160 were contacted and willing to join the study. Of this number, 18 were interviewed face-to-face at the retina clinic while 160 were interviewed by phone by a trained interviewer, bringing a total of 178 subjects participating in the study.

Data collected were then processed and analyzed descriptively and analytically. For descriptive analysis on categorical data, results were presented in number and percentage while analysis on the numerical data resulted in mean, standard deviation, and range. The analytical data were performed using the chi-square test for analyzing the association between two variables of categorical data if the requirements for chi-square were met. If not, the Exact Fisher test was used.

The significance of the results was assessed using the p -value with $p \leq 0.05$ considered statistically significant or, in other words, $p > 0.05$ was considered insignificant statistically. Data collected were inputted into a specific form to be processed using the SPSS version 24.0 program for Windows.

RESULTS

From January 2016 to December 2019, a total of 4,251 people with type 2 diabetes, 1,022 (24.0%) A study to compare the incidence of VTDR in type 2 DM patients in productive age with and without impaired sleep quality has been conducted using the shortened PSQI questionnaire at the National Eye Center of Cicendo Eye Hospital in September 2020. There were 4,931 medical records under the code of E.11 identified in the period of January 2019 to August 2020 at the retina clinic data. However, only 368 matched the inclusion and from 368 subjects, 208 could not be contacted and willing to join the study. Of this number, 18 were interviewed face-to-face at the retina clinic while 160 were interviewed by phone by a trained interviewer, bringing a total of 178 subjects participating in the study.

Table 1 describes the characteristics of the study subjects that include age, gender, education background, occupation, body mass index, duration of DM, HbA1C level, FBS (fasting blood sugar) level, total cholesterol level, HDL level, LDL level, triglyceride level, night sleep duration, and sleep quality.

Most patients were in late elderly age category, females, graduated from senior high school, had normal body mass index, had been diagnosed as DM patient for less than 10 years, high fasting blood sugar profile, abnormal lipid profile, normal sleep duration, and good sleep quality.

Table 2 presents the comparison of characteristics between patients with VTDR and without VTDR. The distribution pattern between the characteristics of the two group was not too difference and could be deemed homogenous with an insignificant statistical difference.

Table 3 depicts the comparison of sleep quality between patients with VTDR and without VTDR. No difference in the incidence of VTDR was identified between the groups with good and poor sleep quality based on the statistical results with a probability value of above 0.05.

DISCUSSION

Diabetic retinopathy (DR) is one of the most common complications of diabetes mellitus (DM). It is also the leading cause of preventable blindness in adults of productive age. Ryan Lee et al. reported that around one third of DM patients will experience VTDR. The global prevalence of DR is 34.6% while the prevalence of the visual threatening diabetic retinopathy (VTDR) is 10.2%.^{1,2,9}

Sample in this study has characteristics that match the previous study on DR patient characteristics except for the duration of DM. Several studies on DR degree development in type 1 and 2 diabetes mellitus discovered that the duration of disease is a factor that significantly trigger the risk for DR as it is related to increased risk of poor glycemic control that worsens the inflammation condition and microvascular damages in retina. In this study, DR, both VTDR and non-VTDR, was seen more frequently on patient who has had DM for less than 10 years. This may be due to several factors, such as the low awareness of Indonesian people on the signs and symptoms of diabetes which leads to delayed laboratory examination. This will make it more difficult to identify the exact duration of the disease.^{9,14,49–56}

This study found no difference in the incidence of VTDR between type 2 DM patients with and without sleeping disorders. This supports the results of Morjaria et al. who studied the link between impaired sleep quality and severity of DR, stating that there is no relationship between DR degree and sleep quality. Morjaria et al. suggested that the lack of connection between DR degree and sleep quality might be due to inaccurate DRM duration data, since the longer the patient has diabetes, the higher the risk for VTDR. This issue is similar to the situation in this study. The fact that the sleep disorder is assessed using a retrospective questionnaire is also considered to be the cause of the lack of association between DR and sleep quality according to Morjaria et al.⁵⁷

Another study by Tan et al. suggested the relationship between sleep quality and VTDR, which is in contrast with the result of this study as well as the results of Morjaria et al. and Banerjee et al. Tan et al. assessed sleep quality using STOP Bang questionnaire, which is more specific for Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA). This present study used the PSQI questionnaire where questions on sleep apnea are also included but it is not sensitive to assess sleep disorders caused by OSA. Another difference between the two questionnaires is that the PSQI can be considered more subjective as it records the subject's own answers while the STOP Bang questionnaire is more objective as it involves other persons who observe the patient's condition. These differences may contribute to the difference results between the studies using the two questionnaires.^{10,58,59}

Table 1 Subject Characteristics

Variable	N=178
Age Category	
Early adulthood	1(0.6%)
Late adulthood	17(9.6%)
Early elderly	79(44.4%)
Late elderly	81(45.5%)
Gender	
Male	76(42.7%)
Female	102(57.3%)
Education	
No formal education	1(0.6%)
Elementary school	25(14.0%)
Junior high school	32(18.0%)
Senior high school	99(55.6%)
Academy	1(0.6%)
Graduate	19(10.7%)
Postgraduate	1(0.6%)
Occupation	
Have occupation	81(45.5%)
Unemployed	97(54.5%)
Body Mass Index	
Underweight	9(5.1%)
Normal	110(61.8%)
Overweight	48(27.0%)
Obese	11(6.2%)
Duration of DM	
10 years or less	119(25.84%)
11-20 years	52(5.06%)
More than 20 years	7(1.69%)
HbA1c	
High	168(94.4%)
Normal	10(5.6%)
FBS	
Low	1(0.6%)
Normal	66(37.1%)
High	111(62.4%)
Total Cholesterol	
Normal	77(43.3%)
Abnormal	101(56.7%)
HDL	
Normal	43(24.2%)
Abnormal	135(75.8%)
LDL	
Normal	29(16.3%)
Abnormal	149(83.7%)
Triglyceride	
Low	2(1.1%)
Normal	73(41.0%)
High	103(57.9%)
Night Sleep Duration	
Short	53(29.8%)
Normal	116(65.2%)
Long	9(5.1%)
Sleep Quality	
Good	133(74.7%)
Poor	45(25.3%)

Note: Categorical data are presented in number/frequency and percentage.

Table 2 Comparison of Subject Characteristics between Patients with and Without VTDR

Variable	Group		p-value
	VTDR N=120	Without VTDR N=58	
Age Category			0.214
Early adulthood	1(0.8%)	0(0.0%)	
Late adulthood	13(10.8%)	4(6.9%)	
Early elderly	58(48.3%)	21(36.2%)	
Late elderly	48(40.0%)	33(56.9%)	
Gender			0.805
Male	52(43.3%)	24(41.4%)	
Female	68(56.7%)	34(58.6%)	
Education			0.347
No formal education	1(0.8%)	0(0.0%)	
Elementary school	16(13.3%)	9(15.5%)	
Junior high school	23(19.2%)	9(15.5%)	
Senior high school	60(50.0%)	39(67.2%)	
Academy	1(0.8%)	0(0.0%)	
Graduate	18(15.0%)	1(1.7%)	
Postgraduate	1(0.8%)	0(0.0%)	
Occupation			0.276
Have occupation	58(48.3%)	23(39.7%)	
Unemployed	62(51.7%)	35(60.3%)	
Body Mass Index			0.859
Underweight	6(5.0%)	3(5.2%)	
Normal	78(65.0%)	32(55.2%)	
Overweight	29(24.2%)	19(32.8%)	
Obese	7(5.8%)	4(6.9%)	
Duration of DM			0.164
10 years or less	73(60.83%)	46(79.31%)	
11-20 years	43(35.83%)	9(15.52%)	
More than 20 years	4(3.33%)	3(5.17%)	
HbA1c			0.858
High	113(94.2%)	55(94.8%)	
Normal	7(5.8%)	3(5.2%)	
FBS			1.000
Low	0(0.0%)	1(1.7%)	
Normal	44(36.7%)	22(37.9%)	
High	76(63.3%)	35(60.3%)	
Total Cholesterol			0.113
Normal	47(39.2%)	30(51.7%)	
Abnormal	73(60.8%)	28(48.3%)	
HDL			0.452
Normal	31(25.8%)	12(20.7%)	
Abnormal	89(74.2%)	46(79.3%)	
LDL			0.812
Normal	19(15.8%)	10(17.2%)	
Abnormal	101(84.2%)	48(82.8%)	
Triglyceride			0.996
Low	1(0.8%)	1(1.7%)	
Normal	47(39.2%)	26(44.8%)	
High	72(60.0%)	31(53.4%)	
Night Sleep Duration			0.201
Short	35(26.7%)	21(36.2%)	
Normal	80(66.7%)	36(62.1%)	
Long	8(6.7%)	1(1.7%)	

Note: For numerical data, p-value was tested using unpaired t-test if data were normally distributed and Mann-Whitney test as an alternative if the data were not distributed normally.

For categorical data, p-value was calculated using the Chi-Square with Kolmogorov Smirnov and Exact Fisher tests as alternatives if the requirements for the *Chi-Square* were not met. Results were considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

Table 3 Comparison of Sleep Quality between Patients with and without VTDR

Variable	Group		p-value
	VTDR N=120	Without VTDR N=58	
Sleep Quality			0.541
Good	88(66.2%)	45(33.8%)	
Poor	32(71.1%)	13(28.9%)	

Note: the p-value for categorical data was calculated using the Chi-Square with Kolmogorov Smirnov and Exact Fisher tests as alternatives if the requirements for the *Chi-Square* were not met. Results were considered significant when $p < 0.05$.

Dutta et al. suggested the relationship between sleep quality and DR in their study. This is different from the result of this study which may be due to the differences in the inclusion criteria between the two studies.⁵⁹

This study has several limitations. The first was the use of the cross-sectional retrospective method that may lead to recall bias. This approach was selected because it is impossible to do a prospective study during the pandemic. The fact that the sample size was small might also contribute to this limitation. Another limitation is that this study used a subjective questionnaire based on self-report.

CONCLUSION

There is no difference in VTDR incidence between patients in productive age with type 2 DM between those with and without impaired sleep quality.

REFERENCES

1. Soelistijo SA, Lindarto D, Decroli E, Permana H, Sucipto KW, Kusnadi Y, et al. Pedoman Pengelolaan dan Pencegahan Diabetes Melitus tipe 2 Dewasa di Indonesia 2019 PERKENI Perkumpulan Endokrinologi Indonesia. Jakarta: PB PERKENI; 2019. 7–18; 93–95.
2. Pusat Data dan Informasi Kemenkes RI. INFODATIN Diabetes Melitus. PUSDAT. 2018;
3. Ying Cui, Zhang M, Zhang L, Zhang L, Kuang J, Zhang G, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for diabetic retinopathy in a cross-sectional population-based study from rural southern China: Dongguan Eye Study. *BMJ Journals*. 2019;9:1–10.
4. Yau JWY, Rogers SL, Kawasaki R, Lamoureux EL, Kowalski JW, Bek T, et al. Global Prevalence and Major Risk Factors of Diabetic Retinopathy. *Diabetes Care Epidemiol Serv Res*. 2012;35:556–64.
5. Sasongko MB, Widyaputri F, Agni AN, Wardhana FS, Kotha S, Gupta P, et al. Prevalence of Diabetic Retinopathy and Blindness in Indonesian Adults With Type 2 Diabetes. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2017;181:79–87.
6. Switania A, Halim A. Risk Factors Of Diabetic Retinopathy And Vision Threatening Diabetic Retinopathy Based On Diabetic Retinopathy Screening Program In Greater Bandung, West Java. Bandung; 2018.
7. Duh EJ, Sun JK, Stitt AW. Diabetic retinopathy: current understanding, mechanisms, and treatment strategies. *JCI Insight*. 2017;20(2):1–14.
8. Riccardo Cheloni, Gandolfi SA, Signorelli C, Odone A. Global prevalence of diabetic retinopathy: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Journals*. 2019;9(3).
9. Ryan Lee, Wong TY, Sabanayagam C. Epidemiology of diabetic retinopathy, diabetic macular edema and related vision loss. *Eye Vis*. 2015;2(17).

10. Tan NYQ, Chew M, Tham Y-C, Nguyen QD, Yasuda M, Cheng C-Y, et al. Associations between sleep duration, sleep quality and diabetic retinopathy. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(5):1–14.
11. Jee D, Keum N, Kang S, Arroyo JG. Sleep and diabetic retinopathy. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2016;1–7.
12. Shan Z, Ma H, Xie M, Yan P, Guo Y, Bao W, et al. Sleep Duration and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes: A Meta-analysis of Prospective Studies. *Diabetes Care*. 2015;38:529–37.
13. Shaun Wen Huey Lee, Ng KY, Chin WK. The impact of sleep amount and sleep quality on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev [Internet]*. 2017;31:91–101. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2016.02.001>
14. M Goyal, Kamboj P, Behgal J, Rathee S, Lather T. Risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Manag*. 2017;7(6):408–11.
15. Sultan F. Magliah, Bardisi W, Attah M Al, Khorsheed MM. The prevalence and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy in selected primary care centers during the 3-year screening intervals. *J Prim Med Prim Care*. 2018;7(5):975–81.
16. Briançon-Marjollet A, Weiszenstein M, Henri M, Thomas A, Godin-Ribuot D, Polak J. The impact of sleep disorders on glucose metabolism: endocrine and molecular mechanisms. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. 2015;7(25):1–16.
17. Ohayon MM. epidemiological Overview of sleep Disorders in the General Population. *Epidemiol Sleep Disord*. 2011;2:1–9.
18. Kerkhof GA. Epidemiology of sleep and sleep disorders in The NetherlandsNo Title. *Sleep Med*. 2017;30:229–39.
19. Raudhatul Zahara, Nurchayati S, Woferst R. Gambaran Insomnia Pada Remaja Di SMK Negeri 2 Pekanbaru. *J Online Mhs*. 2018;5(2):278–86.
20. Rajiv Raman, Gupta A, Venkatesh K, Kulothungan V, Sharma T. Abnormal sleep patterns in subjects with type II diabetes mellitus and its effect on diabetic microangiopathies: Sankara Nethralaya Diabetic Retinopathy Epidemiology and Molecular Genetic Study (SN-DREAMS, report 20). *Acta Diabetol*. 2012;49:255–61.
21. Dharmastuti D., Agni A., Widyaputri F, Pawiroranu S, Sofro Z., Wardhana F., et al. Associations of Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour with Vision-Threatening Diabetic Retinopathy in Indonesia Population with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Jogjakarta Eye Diabetic Study in the Community (JOGED.COM). *Ophthalmic Epidemiol*. 2017;25(2):113–9.
22. Cantor LB, Rapuano CJ, Cioffi GA. *Retina and Vitreous*. San Fransisco: American Academy of Ophthalmology; 200–201.
23. Salmon JF. *Kanski's Clinical Ophthalmology*. 9th ed. Oxford: Elsevier; 2020. 496–513.
24. Huang OS, Tay WT, Ong PG, Sabanayagam C, Cheng C-Y, Tan GS, et al. Prevalence and determinants of undiagnosed diabetic retinopathy and vision-threatening retinopathy in a multiethnic Asian cohort: the Singapore Epidemiology of Eye Diseases (SEED) study. *BMJ Journals*. 2015;99(12).
25. Wiley H, Chew E, Ferris F. Nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy and diabetic macular edema. In: *Ryan's RETINA*. Elsevier; 2018.
26. Cheung N, Mitchell P, Wong TY. Diabetic retinopathy. *Seminar [Internet]*. 2010;376:124–36. Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(09\)62124-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(09)62124-3)
27. Hodgson NM, Zhu J, Wu F, Ferreyra HA, Zhang K. Diabetic Retinopathy: Genetics and Etiologic Mechanisms. In: *Retinal Vascular Disease*. Elsevier; 2020. 1038–54.
28. Lang GE. *Diabetic Retinopathy*. 39th ed. Baumann WB, editor. Basel: Karger; 2007. 48–68.

- 29.
30. Klein R, Klein BEK. The Epidemiology of Diabetic Retinopathy [Internet]. Sixth Edit. Vols. 2–3, Retina: Fourth Edition. Elsevier Inc.; 2005. 1503–1521 p. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-323-40197-5.00047-5>.
31. Jee D, Lee WK, Kang S. Prevalence and Risk Factors for Diabetic Retinopathy: The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2008–2011. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2013;54:6827–33.
32. Naveen P, Venkatesan R, Ashwath Kumar R, Venkidusamy S. Effect of glycemic control on the incidence of microalbuminuria in type II diabetes mellitus individuals. *Natl J Physiol Pharm Pharmacol*. 2014;4(2):132–4.
33. Polonsky K, Burant C, Melmed S, Larsen P, Kronenberg H. Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. In: Williams, editor. *Textbook of Endocrinology*. Philadelphia; 2016. p. 1385–450.
34. Tangvarasittichai S. Oxidative stress, insulin resistance, dyslipidemia and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *World J Diabetes*. 2015;6(3):456.
35. Zhong Y, Li J, Chen Y, Wang JJ, Ratan R, Zhang SX. Activation of endoplasmic reticulum stress by hyperglycemia is essential for Müller cell-derived inflammatory cytokine production in diabetes. *Diabetes*. 2012;61(2):492–504.
36. Kong DQ, Li L, Liu Y, Zheng GY. Association between endoplasmic reticulum stress and risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. *Int J Ophthalmol*. 2018;11(10):1704–10.
37. Li J, Wang JJ, Yu Q, Wang M, Zhang SX. Endoplasmic reticulum stress is implicated in retinal inflammation and diabetic retinopathy. *FEBS Lett*. 2009;583(9):1521–7.
38. Anne Rübsam, Parikh S, Fort PE. Role of Inflammation in Diabetic Retinopathy. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2018;19:1–31.
39. Omae T, Nagaoka T, Yoshida A. Effects of habitual cigarette smoking on retinal circulation in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2016;57(3):1345–51.
40. Wang W, Lo ACY. Diabetic Retinopathy: Pathophysiology and Treatments. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2018;19(1816):1–14.
41. Carley DW, Farabi SS. Physiology of Sleep. *Am Diabetes Assoc [Internet]*. 2016;29(1):5–9. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2337%2Fdiabetes.29.1.5>
42. Brinkman JE, Sharma S. Physiology Sleep. 2018; Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29494118>.
43. Lailiyya N. Anatomi Dan Fisiologi Tidur. In: Islamiyah WR, editor. *Panduan Tatalaksana Gangguan Tidur*. 2nd ed. Jakarta: Sagung Seto; 2018. 1–28.
44. Colten HR, Altevogt BM, editors. Sleep Disorders and Sleep Deprivation: An Unmet Public Health Problem. In: *Sleep Disorders and Sleep Deprivation*. Washington DC: Institute of Medicine, Committee on Sleep Medicine and Research; 2006. 1–15.
45. Atchinson E, Barkmeier A. The Role of Systemic Risk Factors in Diabetic Retinopathy. *Curr Ophthalmol Rep [Internet]*. 2016;4(2):84–9. Available from: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007%2Fs40135-016-0098-8>.
46. Goran Medic, Wille M, Hemels ME. Short- and long-term health consequences of sleep disruption. *Nat Sci Sleep*. 2017;9:151–61.
47. Reutrakul S, Cauter E Van. Interactions between sleep, circadian function, and glucose metabolism: implications for risk and severity of diabetes. *Diabetes Obes*. 2014;151–173.
48. Lin C-L, Tsai Y-H, Yeh MC. Associations between sleep duration and type 2 diabetes in Taiwanese adults: A population-based study. *J Formos Med Assoc [Internet]*. 2016;115(9):779–85. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2016.01.013>

49. Ling-Ling Meng, Liu Y, Geng R-N, Tang Y-Z, Li D-Q. Association of diabetic vascular complications with poor sleep complaints. *Diabetol Metab Syndr*. 2016;8(80):1–7.
50. Wei Y, Jiang H, Shi Y, Qu D, Gregori G, Zheng F, et al. Age-Related Alterations in the Retinal Microvasculature, Microcirculation, and Microstructure. *Investig Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2017;58:3804–17.
51. Yan Liu, Yang J, Tao L, Lv H, Jiang X, Zhang M, et al. Risk factors of diabetic retinopathy and sight-threatening diabetic retinopathy: a cross-sectional study of 13 473 patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in mainland China. *BMJ Journals*. 2017;7(9):1–11.
52. Rooney D, Lye WK, Tan G, Lamoureux EL, Sabanayagam, Ikram MK, et al. Body mass index and retinopathy in Asian populations with diabetes mellitus. *Acta Diabetol*. 2014;
53. Zhou Y, Zhang Y, Shi K, Wang C. Body mass index and risk of diabetic retinopathy. *Meta-Analysis Obs Study Epidemiol*. 2017;96(22).
54. Tomić M, Antunica AG, Ljubić S, Rabatić JS, Karabatić M. Body Mass Index: A Risk Factor for Retinopathy in Type 2 Diabetic Patients. *Hindawi*. 2013;2013.
55. Chatziralli IP. The Role of Glycemic Control and Variability in Diabetic Retinopathy. *Diabetes Ther [Internet]*. Springer Healthcare; 2018;9(1):431–4. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13300-017-0345-5>
56. Wibawa IMS, Budhiastra P, Susila NKN. Karakteristik Pasien Retinopati Diabetik di Rumah Sakit Umum Pusat Sanglah Denpasar Periode April 2016 - April 2017. *Dir Open Acces Journals*. 2018;7(11):1–6.
57. Vivian Schreur, Asten F van, Ng H, Weeda J, Groenewoud JMM, Tack CJ, et al. Risk factors for development and progression of diabetic retinopathy in Dutch patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Acta Ophthalmol*. 2019;96(5):459–64.
58. Morjaria R, Alexander I, Purbrick RMJ, Safa R, Chong NV, Wulff K, et al. Impact of Diabetic Retinopathy on Sleep, Mood, and Quality of Life. *ARVO J*. 2019;60(6):2304–10.
59. Banerjee D, Leong WB, Arora T, Nolen M, Punamiya V, Grunstein R, et al. The Potential Association between Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Diabetic Retinopathy in Severe Obesity—The Role of Hypoxemia. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(11).
60. Scarlata S, Pedone C, Curcio G, Cortese L, Chiurco D, Fontana D, et al. Pre-polysomnographic assessment using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index questionnaire is not useful in identifying people at higher risk for obstructive sleep apnea. *J Med Screen*. 2013;20(4):220–6.
61. Dutta S, Ghosh S, Ghosh S. Association of sleep disturbance with diabetic retinopathy. *Eur J Ophthalmol*. 2020;1–7.



This work licensed under Creative Commons Attribution